KENDRA OSBURN | 10/5/19 | IST 736 | HW1 | SENTIMENT ANALYSIS

A COMPARISON OF SENTIMENT ANALYSIS TOOLS

HOW WE FEEL ABOUT ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (and cats)



Photo via Joshua Coleman | Unsplash

Introduction

What is 'Artificial Intelligence?' At the nexus of machines and humans is this strange hard-to-grasp, even-harder-to-quantify blanket term called Artificial Intelligence. Once a Hollywood blockbuster depicting one of the many strange futures and concepts that is Artificial Intelligence, it is now a silicon valley buzzword, like bitcoin or blockchain, used to excite stakeholders and artificially increase valuations.

In reality, Artificial Intelligence is considerably less glamorous. Artificial Intelligence is simply taking advantage of computers (no, not in that way iRobot enthusiasts) by utilizing their

computing power across many different things that would be far too tedius (and error prone) for a human to do.

For example, let's say we want to know how the world feels about the President of the United States. In the olden days, before things like mass communication, computers and the internet, we might have to walk door to door, ring the doorbell, interview the inhabitants, take notes, and return to our university where we would manually sift through the notes pulling out words that might seem more "positive" or "negative" in nature. This could be manageable for one 2nd grader on his/her cul de sac, (I'd venture she'd disagree, though) but on a large scale, this is nearly impossible.

Let's pretend for a minute that we can magically snap our fingers and get a sentence from each person. If each person in the United States simply wrote one sentence about the President, we'd have over 300 million sentences to review. Even if it magically (call Hogwarts) took us one second to review and categorize each sentence, and we worked around the clock, it would take us over 9 years to do this -- and by then, we'd have a different president! Not only is this nearly impossible, it is quite ineffective. Computers, on the other hand, are quite effective at tasks like this.

Computers are absolutely amazing at menial tasks -- especially counting things. Computers are also very good at doing math quickly and efficiently with numbers too large even for our very expensive T.I. calculators. Computers have a lot of other skills but that is slightly (ahem, well) beyond the scope of this research paper. In short, Artificial Intelligence is using computers and machines to do things humans can't do as well, and often using things like counting and math to train computers to do even more amazing things.

Analysis & Models

ABOUT THE DATA:

Three different datasets were used in this study -- four if the 'Example Analysis' sentence is included (however, this sentence was not used for analysis, only for education). The initial non-educational-only data set contained a single folder with two different text documents -- Cats.txt and Spinach.txt. As one might correctly assume, the Cats.txt document had a small blurb about cats, while the Spinach.txt document had a small blurb about spinach.

Cats.txt

"Kendra loves cats. In fact, she has TEN cats. If she didn't have a house, a husband and a graduate degree in data science, she'd be a cat lady!"

Spinach.txt

'Wow. Spinach is great. Not just for cartoon sailors. Interestingly, one of my cats loves spinach, too! So does my husband.'



FIG 1: WordClouds -- Very verbiage. Much cumulus. Wow.

The second dataset was created to mimic social perceptions of Artificial Intelligence in the form of tweets or comments. Two distinct corpuses were created -- one for negative sentiment, one for positive sentiment.

Here they are in word cloud form:

NEGATIVE





The final data set was "Amy's Data" as referenced throughout. This dataset was used as an additional benchmark when comparing the accuracy of different Sentiment Analysis tools. Similarly to the second (and main) dataset, Amy's Data consists of two labeled mini-corpuses of 5 txt files each, one for positive movie reviews, one for negative movie reviews.

PREPROCESSING THE DATA:

What are words? What is data? How can we turn data into words? The computer doesn't know what a cat is. How can we tell the computer what a cat is?! There is so much the computer doesn't know and so much we need to tell the computer. How can we turn words into something the computer can understand? Well, first, we count them. How can we

count words you ask? Excellent question! We first take the words in regular sentence form (just like this here paragraph) and we turn the words into **tokens**. That's correct -- we **Tokenize** the words. This is simply turning each word into a data point. And it doesn't stop just at words. We can capture punctuation, too! Let's look at an example.

TOKENIZATION:

Tokenization is simply breaking text down into "tokens" which, in this case, is words! *NOTE: Tokens can include things like punctuation, but we'll get to that a little later.*

EXAMPLE ANALYSIS:

Let's start with a simple (but highly relatable) sentence.

INPUT:

```
example_text = "Kendra loves cats. In fact, she has TEN cats. If she didn't
have a house, a husband and a graduate degree in data science, she'd be a
cat lady!"
```

OUTPUT TOKENS:

```
['Kendra', 'loves', 'cats', '.', 'In', 'fact', ',', 'she', 'has', 'TEN',
'cats', '.', 'If', 'she', 'did', "n't", 'have', 'a', 'house', ',', 'a',
'husband', 'and', 'a', 'graduate', 'degree', 'in', 'data', 'science', ',',
'she', "'d", 'be', 'a', 'cat', 'lady', '!']
```

These just look like the words in the **INPUT** sentence, yes? Well, currently, they are. But now, we can treat the tokenized words as data points and do fun (and illuminating!) things to -- like counting! -- and with them!

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS:

What if I asked you to find the most frequent word in our super fun example sentence? You'd have to manually count, likely using your finger or even a pencil if you printed it out like a luddite, each word and somehow keep track of both the word and the number of times it occurred in the sentence. Now, this is a fairly simple, albeit tedious, task for this small sentence. However, what if you had many sentences? What if you had hundreds of millions of sentences? Supposing it takes you 3 minutes to catalog our superfun sample sentence, you'd be stuck cataloging our hundreds of millions of sentences until you were gray in the hair and face! Thankfully, computers are wizards when it comes to counting words and we can have the computer quickly do this for us using a handy function called **FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS** (which is just a fancy way of saying "counting" (the frequency)).



To answer our question above **What is the most frequently occurring word in this collection of sentences** we can see from our graph that it's the word "a."

But wait, "a" isn't a super helpful word for us when analyzing sentences. Words like "a" and "the" aren't very helpful when it comes to determining things like content or sentiment, so these words are called **STOPWORDS**.

STOPWORDS:

After we remove the stopwords, we can get a better understanding of what this sentence is about.



Interestingly, it looks like a punctuation mark is what's most frequently used in these sentences. That doesn't really help us so we can add that to our list of stop words OR we can use an even handier method **`isalpha()`** which we discovered slightly late in the game.

Also, wait, doesn't the word "cat" appear more than 2 times?

Oh! That's because we have "cat" AND "cats" which the computer is counting as two different words! Introducing...

STEMMING:

Stemming (and Lemmatization, which we will visit a little later) are both ways we reduce words down to the base word. So in this instance, changing "cats" to "cat" (because in this example, the plural of cats doesn't impact the meaning or our overall goal of counting the occurrences of references to "cat")



Ah, finally. We can clearly see that the subject of this example sentence is cats. Hooray! Now to incorporate our actual data.

MACHINE LEARNING:

NLTK SENTIMENT ANALYSIS:

Full overview coming for HW2

VADER:

See full overview (including code) here

https://danielcaraway.github.io/html/HW1_viathedocs_vader_kdata.html

As a quick litmus test to the accuracy of Vader, two different datasets were used.

el compound excerpt	compound	label	
g 0.5255 WHERE ARE THE JOBS?! OH THAT'S RIGHT. ARTIFICI	0.5255	neg	0
g 0.7712 How can we trust Artificial Intelligence to dr	0.7712	neg	1
g -0.2244 I hate artificial intelligence!	-0.2244	neg	2
g -0.2942 My dog is terrified by artificial intelligence!	-0.2942	neg	3
g 0.5255 Artificial intelligence is going to melt the b	0.5255	neg	4
el compound excerpt	compound	label	
	0.6705	pos	0
os 0.6705 My dog is excited by the advancements in artif			
os0.6705My dog is excited by the advancements in artifos0.8271I'm excited for my child to grow up and have t	0.8271	pos	1
os0.6705My dog is excited by the advancements in artifos0.8271I'm excited for my child to grow up and have tos0.8221I love artificial intelligence!	0.8271 0.8221	pos pos	1 2
os0.6705My dog is excited by the advancements in artifos0.8271I'm excited for my child to grow up and have tos0.8221I love artificial intelligence!os0.8213Order my groceries, pay my taxes, take my kids	0.8271 0.8221 0.8213	pos pos pos	1 2 3
os0.6705My dog is excited by the advancements in artifos0.8271I'm excited for my child to grow up and have tos0.8221I love artificial intelligence!os0.8213Order my groceries, pay my taxes, take my kidsos0.8402I'm grateful every day that my child will like	0.8271 0.8221 0.8213	pos pos pos	1 2 3

excerpt	compound	label	
that's exactly how long the movie felt to me	0.7836	neg	0
" quest for camelot " is warner bros . ' firs	-0.8481	neg	1
so ask yourself what " 8mm " (" eight millime	-0.9753	neg	2
synopsis : a mentally unstable man undergoing	0.6824	neg	3
capsule : in 2176 on the planet mars police ta	-0.9879	neg	4
excerp	compound	label	
excerpt films adapted from comic books have had plenty	compound -0.5887	label pos	0
excerpt films adapted from comic books have had plenty you've got mail works alot better than it dese	compound -0.5887 0.9964	label pos pos	0
excerpt films adapted from comic books have had plenty you've got mail works alot better than it dese " jaws " is a rare film that grabs your atten	compound -0.5887 0.9964 0.9868	label pos pos	0 1 2
excerpt films adapted from comic books have had plenty you've got mail works alot better than it dese " jaws " is a rare film that grabs your atten every now and then a movie comes along from a	compound -0.5887 0.9964 0.9868 0.8825	label pos pos pos	0 1 2 3
excerpt films adapted from comic books have had plenty you've got mail works alot better than it dese " jaws " is a rare film that grabs your atten every now and then a movie comes along from a moviemaking is a lot like being the general ma	compound -0.5887 0.9964 0.9868 0.8825 -0.3525	label pos pos pos pos	0 1 2 3 4

TextBlob:

See full overview (including code) here

https://danielcaraway.github.io/html/HW1_textblob_v3.html

As a quick litmus test to the accuracy of TextBlob, two different datasets were used.

CASE STUDY 1: Kendra's Data:

	label	prediction	sentiment	length	excerpt
0	neg	neg	-0.157143	76	WHERE ARE THE JOBS?! OH THAT'S RIGHT. ARTIFICI
1	neg	neg	-0.750000	96	How can we trust Artificial Intelligence to dr
2	neg	neg	-0.775000	31	I hate artificial intelligence!
3	neg	neg	-0.750000	47	My dog is terrified by artificial intelligence!
4	neg	neg	-0.750000	68	Artificial intelligence is going to melt the b
	label	prediction	sentiment	length	excerpt
0	pos	neg	-0.112500	65	My dog is excited by the advancements in artif
1	pos	neg	-0.075000	133	I'm excited for my child to grow up and have t
2	pos	neg	-0.125000	31	I love artificial intelligence!
3	pos	neg	-0.300000	121	Order my groceries, pay my taxes, take my kids

CASE STUDY 2: Amy's Data:

excelpt	length	sentiment	prediction	label	
that's exactly how long the movie felt to me	3554	-0.054577	neg	neg	0
" quest for camelot " is warner bros . ' firs	2929	0.025467	pos	neg	1
so ask yourself what " 8mm " (" eight millime	3365	0.003334	pos	neg	2
synopsis : a mentally unstable man undergoing	4418	0.022925	pos	neg	3
capsule : in 2176 on the planet mars police ta	3911	0.043234	pos	neg	4
excerp	length	sentiment	prediction	label	
films adapted from comic books have had plenty	4227	0.023663	pos	pos	0
	2421	0.131092	pos	pos	1
you've got mail works alot better than it dese					
you've got mail works alot better than it dese " jaws " is a rare film that grabs your atten	6092	0.110626	pos	pos	2
you've got mail works alot better than it dese " jaws " is a rare film that grabs your atten every now and then a movie comes along from a	6092 4096	0.110626	pos pos	pos pos	2 3
you've got mail works alot better than it dese					

As the data shows, TextBlob does **<u>not</u>** do an accurate job of determining sentiment. In Kendra's data, literally everything (from both sets!) was categorized as negative. In Amy's data, regardless of the set, 4 of the 5 were predicted to be positive and one loner was negative. In Kendra's data, it correctly predicted 50% of the time. In Amy's data, it correctly predicted 50% of the time as well. This is not a good accuracy.

COUNTVECTORIZER:

Countvectorizer a sparse matrix. We will use this at a later date (HW2) and evaluate it then.

Results

PREDICTIVE ANALYTICS:

This analysis found very little useful information. Due to the small sample size, the researchers were unable to come up with a reliable predictive model. Any actionable suggestions based on the results would be misguided as the sample size was simply too small to be of any use to the client.

PRESCRIPTIVE ANALYTICS:

If the goal is to be able to predict sentiment, more "training data" is needed for the models. However, if the goal is simply ascribing sentiment to existing data (or if the only data available is a small data set), something like vader can be used to judge/ascribe/prescribe sentiment (but again, not predict sentiment).

TL;DR: (Should this be part of the conclusion? I still talk about data... as in not having enough of it)

To truly get an accurate litmus test of the current zeitgest's feelings towards artificial intelligence, the client needs to increase her budget to allow the researchers to gather more data. With additional data, the researchers would be better equipped to run more thorough predictive models and equip the client with a more comprehensive list of "action items."

Speaking of "action items," the researchers need to better understand the goals of the client --is the client trying to launch a new startup in the AI space? Is the client attempting to rebrand their currently-market-as-AI-product? Is the client simply looking to gather data about this field for a research project with a larger scope? -- in order to truly help the client, the researchers would need answers to these or similar questions.

What to do with this information: Regarding predictive analytics, more data is needed from all over the web. This data might come from twitter feeds, Facebook posts, comments on news articles and google search alerts. The researchers suggest the client hire an outside contractor to build benign bots to track certain keywords in each of these social pools and return to the researchers with the more robust dataset.

Conclusion

The current public sentiment regarding Artificial Intelligence is very polarized -- the sentiment is either extremely favorable and hopeful or highly wary and suspicious. Additionally there is a clear divide in how each party (each pole) choose to define both "Artificial Intelligence" and the perceived "benefits" or "risks" of the wide adoption and usage of this [insert their definition of "Artificial Intelligence"]. As this topic is fraught with intermingling definitions and is tightly connected to many more high-profile red button issues (e.g. job creation/loss, fake news, election tampering etc.), the researchers suggest avoiding this topic at family gatherings or Thanksgiving dinners.